

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making				
Agency: Washington State Department of Ecology AO# 15-04				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>15-15-176</u> Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	; or Supplemental Notice to WSR Continuance of WSR			
Title of rule and other identifying information: Chapter 173-910 - 1 purpose of this rulemaking, consistent with the authorizing star goal of recycling all end-of-life mercury-containing lights by 2 statewide requirement to recycle all mercury-containing lights convenient collection system that includes use of residential cu increased support for household hazardous waste facilities, and	tute in Chapter 270.275 RCW, is to achieve a statewide 2020 through expanded public education, a uniform, and the development of a comprehensive, safe, and urbside collection programs, mail-back containers,			
Hearing location(s): Department of Ecology -Headquarters 300 Desmond Dr SE Lacey, WA 98503	Submit written comments to: Name: Joanne Neugebauer-Rex Address: Department of Ecology Waste 2 Resources Program 300 Desmond Dr SE Lacey, WA 98503			
Date: April 27, 2016 Time: 2:00 PM	e-mail <u>w2rrulemaking@ecy.wa.gov</u> fax (360) <u>407-6102</u> by (date) May 4, 2016			
Date. <u>April 27, 2010</u> Time. <u>2.00 FW</u>	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact			
Date of intended adoption: May 25, 2016 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	<u>Waste 2 Resources Program Reception at 360-407-6900</u> by <u>April 25, 2016</u> TTY (877) <u>833-6341</u> or (711) <u>Relay Service</u>			
rule to reflect and incorporate changes made to the underlying statute by the 2014 State Legislature. Revisions do not affect the way mercury-containing lights are managed. Proposed changes include the requirement to fund the program through an environmental handling charge, revised requirements for stewardship plans and annual reports including independent financial audits, changes in the number of lights that can be recycled per day, changes in definitions, sunset provisions, and other changes as directed by the Legislature. Reasons supporting proposal: Chapter 173-910 WAC establishes the framework for a stewardship program to collect and properly dispose of mercurycontaining lights. The current rule reflects an approach to stewardship that was significantly altered by the 2014 Legislature. We need to update our rules to reflect changes made to the law, and to properly describe how the current program is operating per the statute.				
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.275.040, 70.275.110, 70.275.140 Statute being implemented: Chapter 70.275 RCW				
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No Yes No Yes No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED			
DATE 3/10/2016	DATE: March 10, 2016 TIME: 12:14 PM			
NAME (type or print) Polly Zehm				
SIGNATURE Rolly Zehm	WSR 16-07-035			
TITLE Deputy Director				

Agency commatters:	mments or recommendations, if a	ny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcer	nent, and fiscal	
Name of pr	oponent: Washington State Departmen	nt of Ecology	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental	
Name of ag	gency personnel responsible for:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting	Joanne Neugebauer-Rex	Ecology Headquarters, Lacey	(360) 407-7602	
Implementati	onJoanne Neugebauer-Rex	Ecology Headquarters, Lacey	(360) 407-7602	
Enforcement	Laurie G. Davies	Ecology Headquarters, Lacey	(360) 407-6103	
		ment been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	a school district	
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.				
	A copy of the statement may be obta Name: Address:	nined by contacting:		
⊠ No. I	phone () fax () e-mail Explain why no statement was prepa	urod		
Per RCW 19 in complianc Economic In	.85.025 of the Regulatory Fairness Act, e with RCW 34.05.310(4). The provision pact Statement (SBEIS) for this rulema	a Small Business Economic Impact Statement is not required for one of RCW 34.05.310(4) that exempt Ecology from preparing a king include (c) the amendments adopting without material character changes that only clarify language of the rule without change.	a Small Business age state laws and/or	
ls a cost-be	enefit analysis required under RC\	N 34.05.328?		
☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name: Address:				
	phone () fax () e-mail			
No: Please explain: Per Chapter 34.05 RCW - the Administrative Procedure Act, a Cost Benefit Analysis is not required for this rulemaking. The provisions of RCW 34.05.328(5) that exempt Ecology from the requirement to prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis include (iii) amendments adopting without material change state laws and/or (iv) amendments correcting typographical errors or making changes that only clarify language of the rule without changing its effect.				